

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,952 09/22/2003		09/22/2003	Michael C. Kemery	NIKE.105811	1213
5251	7590	04/21/2006		EXAMINER	
		& BACON LLI	AMERSON, LORI BAKER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 2555 GRAND BLVD			RTMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KANSAS	CITY,, N	4O 64108-2613		3764	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/667,952	KEMERY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lori Amerson	3764	

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Lasi Assassas	0704	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Lori Amerson ears on the cover sheet with the co	3764 orrespondence ad	dress
The amendment document filed on <u>03 February 2006</u> is requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amitem(s) is required.	considered non-compliant becaus	se it has failed to	meet the
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include  B. New paragraph(s) should not be under  C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPLI	ANT:
<ul><li>2. Abstract:</li><li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37</li><li>B. Other</li></ul>	CFR 1.72.		
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identifie "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed dr showing amended figures, without man</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	CFR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimina	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the</li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following sometimes (Previously presented), (New), (Not ended)</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper head.</li> </ul>	the text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and a teached the status of every claim must status identifiers: (Original), (Currestered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn) ave not been presented in ascend	as such, the indiv t be indicated afte ently amended), ( wn-currently ame ding numerical or	idual status er its claim Canceled), ended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no	ot signed in accordance with 37 C	FR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format require	d by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	CE:		
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-cor filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whe correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued e amendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are che non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF</li> </ol>	f the following: a preliminary amer xamination (RCE) under 37 CFR 7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an am cked, the correction required is or	ndment, a non-fin 1.114), a suppler endment filed in i	al amendment mental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to		amendment is a	non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result Abandonment of the application if the non-confiled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliamendment.	mpliant amendment is a non-final		
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephon		·

Application/Control Number: 10/667,952

Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out 1. disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them. In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. If the reply is with respect to an application, a request may be made that objections or requirements as to form not necessary to further consideration of the claims be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. The applicant's or patent owner's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.